


Report Caro



RANKING STATE K-12 PERFORMANCE, PROGRESS AND REFORM



For an online comparison tool, custom report cards and state education reports, visit www.ALECReportCard.org



Report Card on American Education: K-12 Performance, Progress and Reform is published by the American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC) as part of its mission to promote limited government, free markets and federalism. ALEC is the nation's largest nonpartisan, voluntary membership organization of state legislators, industry representatives, research analysts and policy think tanks. ALEC is governed by a board of directors of state lawmakers, which is advised by the Private Enterprise Advisory Council representing business leaders and entrepreneurs.

The American Legislative Exchange Council is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit, public policy organization. Contributions are tax deductible.

Introduction

Dear Reader,

After another year of school choice expansion, which saw programs enacted in states as diverse as Illinois, North Carolina and Kentucky, it's worth taking stock of how far these parent-empowering programs have come since they were a twinkle in Nobel laureate (and frequent ALEC speaker) Milton Friedman's eye – and how frustratingly far they still have to go.

The first modern private school choice program of the modern era was enacted in 1990, in the city of Milwaukee. The first charter school was opened in Minnesota in 1991. Since then, 44 states and D.C. have allowed charter schools to serve their students, and 54 private school choice programs have been enacted across the country.

But Friedman wasn't satisfied. "Why not let [parents] spend part of a voucher for math in one place and English or science somewhere else," he questioned in 2003.

Since education savings accounts debuted in Arizona in 2011, they have become the fastest-growing and most-introduced school choice program. This year, North Carolina became the sixth state to offer fully flexible accounts, to be used on any combination of private school tuition, tutoring, online learning, home learning, and education therapy the family thinks will help a child soar. While 19 gold-standard studies have found improvement on standardized tests among children in school choice programs, the real effect of educational freedom has been much broader. Compared with a demographically-matched peer in public school, voucher students' overall rate of felony convictions fell by a whopping 79 percent, while incidences of common specific offenses like drug crimes and theft came down by 93 and 87 percent, respectively.

Similar results were found in some charter schools, such as Promise Academy in Harlem New York, where a study found that enrolled boys were 100 percent less likely to be incarcerated than their peers, an outcome that would surely have been emblazoned on the front pages of the nation were it attributable to yet another big-government program.

Of course, drops in criminality are just the first step towards producing happy and productive learners. Eight studies have found that school choice students are more likely to be actively engaged in their local communities or in public service projects. Among the proxies for civic virtue these studies measured are the effect of choice on social tolerance, civic participation, volunteerism, voter registration and voter turnout.

These are results that reflect empowered families and revitalized neighborhoods; indications of institutions once again taking up the call to work with parents to produce American citizens we can all be proud of, and who enrich our republic as well as their own communities.

And yet, despite these encouraging results for children, families- and communities, the progress of freedom in education remains frustratingly slow, even in states where one might expect Milton Friedman's arguments – excellence through competition, trusting parents to be informed decision makers – to find happy reception. Nebraska, for example, reprises its 51st-place finish in the Report Card.

We can do more, we should do more, and we need to do it faster. The fate of America's future citizens depend on it.

Onward,

Inez Feltscher Stepman

Education Policy Grades & School Choice Ranking Methodology

Education Policy Grade

The education policy grade on each state's Report Card is based on six factors: state academic standards, charter schools, homeschool regulation burden, private school choice, teacher quality, and digital learning. Because the Education and Workforce Task Force at ALEC focuses the most on private school choice and charter schools, those factors were given double weight in the calculation over overall rank and grade. The weighted grades were converted into a GPA average and an individual rank.

Ties were broken by states' private school choice grades, followed by grades in charter schools and finally, grades in digital learning. States with school choice or charter laws that earned Fs still benefited from having them in ties with states that do not have any programs on the books.

Some reports have not been updated in the last year, and some states did not report data in some of the categories. In these cases, the previous year's grade was used.

State Academic Standards

Without rigorous standards, high "proficiency" levels can mean little in terms of academic achievement. A state's academic standards grade comes from an Education Next report, which measured the difference in the percentage of students considered proficient by the state exam and the percentage of students in that state who scored as proficient on NAEP.

Charter Schools

The charter school grade on the Report Card is based on a publication of the Center for Education Reform,² which grades charter school laws across a series of factors. The Charter School Law Ranking and Scorecard takes into account features of a state's charter law that influence how well charters are able to flourish, such as availability of independent authorizers, lack of growth caps, autonomous operation free from legal or regulatory red tape, and funding equity. The handful of states that have not yet passed a charter school law received Fs on their Report Cards.

Homeschool Regulation Burden

Almost two million students each year are homeschooled in the United States. ALEC supports homeschooling freedom and the right of parents to take direct control of their children's educations.³ The policy grades in this category correspond to the Home School Legal Defense Association's analysis of state laws,⁴ which categorizes the burdens states place on parents who wish to homeschool, from relatively-innocuous notice requirements, to high-regulatory environments that may make it difficult for parents who choose this form of education for their families.

Footnotes:

^{1.} Rigor of State Proficiency Standards, 2015, Education Next, available at http://educationnext.org/state-standards-map-2016/.

Charter School Law Ranking and Scorecard, Center for Education Reform, available at https://www.edreform.com/2015/03/charter-school-laws-across-the-states-2015-rankings-scorecard/.

Resolution Supporting Homeschooling Freedom, American Legislative Exchange Council, available at https://www.alec.org/model-policy/resolution-supporting-homeschooling-freedom/.

^{4.} Homeschool Laws in Your State, Home School Legal Defense Association, available at https://www.hslda.org/laws/.

Education Policy Grades & School Choice Ranking Methodology

Teacher Quality and Policies

No one who has felt or seen the power of a good teacher to change a child's life can doubt the importance of teacher quality to the overall performance of our education system. The National Council on Teacher Quality analyzes state policies across a number of crucial factors, including teacher preparation, licensing, evaluation, compensation structure, professional development, and dismissal procedures.⁵

Digital Learning

In 2016, integration of technology into the classroom is widespread and necessary, but some states have done a more efficient and effective job utilizing new technology than others. The Foundation for Excellence in Education puts out an annual Digital Learning Report Card,⁶ grading states on factors such as student eligibility and access, personalized learning, and quality choices.

School Choice Ranking Methodology

The school choice ranking was compiled by the American Legislative Exchange Council.

Any ranking purporting to compare the varied types of educational choice programs across the country, from education savings accounts, to vouchers, to tax credit scholarships, must necessarily examine the programs from the 10,000-foot level. ALEC has chosen to address three main policy decisions that impact

the success of any choice program: a program's size and scope, purchasing power in the educational marketplace, and flexibility and freedom. Some states with long-standing school choice programs may be surprised to discover their lower scores, but after more than two decades, it is time for the educational choice movement to set its sights higher, and to aim for broad-based, useful, and successful programs.

Size and Scope

The most important aspect of any educational choice program is, of course, the number of students who are ultimately able to utilize it. The most heavily-weighted category of the school choice grade assigned by ALEC includes the number of students as a percentage of the state's public school population, percentage of students eligible, and points for the breadth of a program. States lost points for restricting their choice programs to families with limited income, families within a certain geographical region, or families of children with special needs. All families deserve to be empowered to choose the best education for their children.

Purchasing Power

The financial awards parents receive when they participate in educational choice programs can determine the options they have to choose from. A too-low award may help middle-class families move a child to a private school, but will not provide those opportunities to a wider group of parents, especially in states that do not allow parents to "top up" tuition payments beyond the award amount. Funding too low to cover tuition costs is among the most-cited complaints from private schools that receive

Footnotes:

 ²⁰¹⁵ State Teacher Policy Yearbook: National Summary, National Council on Teacher Quality (December 2015), available at https://hslda.org/laws/.

Digital Learning Now Report Card, Foundation for Excellence in Education, available at http://www.digitallearningnow.com/report-card/. Homeschool Laws in Your State, Home School Legal Defense Association, available at https://www.hslda.org/laws/.

Education Policy Grades & School Choice Ranking Methodology

school choice students. While higher per-pupil spending has not produced better academic performance, a child should not receive lower funding merely because his or her parents chose to opt in to an educational choice program. Awards are measured as a percentage of public school per-pupil spending in the state to take into account differing costs of living and relative public education spending levels, as well as appropriately adjusted in programs that exclusively serve special-needs students, for whose education the state pays public schools two, three, or more times the average public expenditure.

Flexibility and Freedom

Regulatory burdens differ from program type to program type; it is difficult to compare regulations on scholarship-granting organizations in Florida's tax credit program with regulations on Louisiana's vouchers or Arizona's education savings accounts. However, two factors serve as reasonable proxies: private school participation, which provides a rough measure of private-sector enthusiasm, presumably tied to regulatory barriers to entry, and state testing mandates, which rank among private schools' top concerns regarding school choice program participation.

Finally, states with ESA programs were given extra points, partially to offset the inappropriateness of the school participation metric to evaluate programs that are supposed to move beyond school choice to educational choice, offering parents the ability to completely customize their children's educations beyond the classroom environment. Research has shown that ESAs generally impose the lowest regulatory burden on participating providers, followed by tax credit scholarship programs, with vouchers bringing up the rear.

Notes

States with brand-new educational choice programs that have not begun enrollment yet can expect to see their numbers jump substantially in the ranking as they are able to post student enrollment and private sector participation numbers. A few states do not report particular data points, and estimates or zero scores were awarded as necessary.

Additional Information

The theme of this edition is the "underfunding myth," exposing the lack of connection between funding increases and student performance. Each Report Card includes the change in per-pupil spending amounts from 2013 to 2015, and the corresponding years of NAEP results.

States that made large increases in spending and actually went backwards in academic performance assessments were awarded a "breaking the bank" icon. States that improved their NAEP performances while decreasing or holding steady their per-pupil spending were awarded gold stars for their stewardship of hardearned taxpayer dollars.

Additionally, a funding breakdown, graduation rate and class size were included on the Report Card. None of this information factored into a state's education policy grade, rank, or GPA, which were based only on that state's education policies.

Acknowledgements

Acknowledgements

The author would like the following for making this report possible:

First and always, the warriors for freedom at the Allegheny Foundation and the Gleason Family Foundation, without whose generous support, the Report Card on American Education would not be possible.

The author would like to further thank Lisa B. Nelson, Bartlett Cleland, Wilhelm Meierling, Marie Vulaj, Tom McLaughlin and Monica Moir for their skill and hard work in contributing to this report. Additional thanks must go to Christine Phipps, who designed these pages and made boring spreadsheets come to life.


ALEC is grateful to Education Next, Center for Education Reform, the Home School Legal Defense Association, the National Council on Teacher Quality and Excellence in Education for their invaluable work, and for their publications that are the backbone of this Report.



Rank
39

Grade






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS School Years / Subjects: Score 4th Grade Reading 217 4th Grade Math 231 8th Grade Reading 259 8th Grade Math 267

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
87%	15.8

State Academic Standards	В
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	D
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D+
Digital Learning	D-







Rank

Grade

1.3






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	213	Spending
4th Grade Math	236	
8th Grade Reading	260	\$20,117
8th Grade Math	280	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
58%	16.3

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	A
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D-
Digital Learning	D+








Rank












Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
63%	20.8

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	Α
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden	В
(A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	
Private School Choice Programs	A+
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies:	C-
Overall Grade	
Digital Learning	C+






Rank

Grade

GPA






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -3 -3 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	218	Spending
4th Grade Math	235	
8th Grade Reading	259	\$9,573
8th Grade Math	275	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
88%	12.9

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	A
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	B-
Digital Learning	С








25

Grade










Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
82%	22.7

State Academic Standards	B+
	İ
Charter Schools	В
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D
Digital Learning	D-







20

Grade









2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	224	Spending
4th Grade Math	242	
8th Grade Reading	268	\$10,899
8th Grade Math	286	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
71%	17.0








Connecticut


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

Rank
42

Grade

1.3






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	229	Spending
4th Grade Math	240	
8th Grade Reading	273	\$17,759
8th Grade Math	284	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	12.9

State Academic Standards	A
	İ _
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	B-
Digital Learning	F






Delaware


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

Rank

Grade











Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
84%	14.7







District of Columbia


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.


Rank


Grade

3 [










Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
64%	11.9

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	Α
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	C-
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D+
Digital Learning	N/A






Rank

Grade

GPA







CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	227	Spending .
4th Grade Math	243	
8th Grade Reading	263	\$9,223
8th Grade Math	275	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
63%	14.3










Rank

Grade

GPA








Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
72%	14.4


State Academic Standards	A
	6
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	В
School Choice Allowed	Yes
	'
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	B-
	1
Digital Learning	В






Rank

Grade







2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	215	Spending
4th Grade Math	238	
8th Grade Reading	257	\$12,014
8th Grade Math	279	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
83%	15.7









Rank

Grade

2.0

GPA






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	222	Spending
4th Grade Math	239	
8th Grade Reading	269	\$8,928
8th Grade Math	284	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
69%	18.2

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	А
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
Digital Learning	С







Rank

Grade

2.1






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	222	Spending
4th Grade Math	237	
8th Grade Reading	267	\$13,870
8th Grade Math	282	

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
86%	15.2

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** D Charter Schools Allowed Yes **Homeschool Regulation Burden** Α (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** C-School Choice Allowed Yes **Teacher Quality and Policies:** C+ **Overall Grade** D-**Digital Learning**








Rank

B+

3.2








Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
87%	16.8

State Academic Standards	C+
Charter Schools	A
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	А
Private School Choice Programs	B-
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	В
Digital Learning	B-








Rank

C-











Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
92%	13.7









Rank


34

Grade

1.5








Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	13.7

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
	1
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
	_
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D+
Digital Learning	B-







Rank
41

Grade

1.4






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	228	Spending
4th Grade Math	242	
8th Grade Reading	268	\$9,635
8th Grade Math	278	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
93%	16.2

State Academic Standards	В
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	С
Digital Learning	D






Rank

Grade

2.1




CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 0.5 2 0 -2 -4 -2 -6 -5 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Reading **SCORE** Reading Math Math

Score	Per-Pupil
216	Spending
234	
255	\$10,511
268	
	216 234 255

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
79%	13.9

State Academic Standards	B+
	6
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden	C
(A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	
Private School Choice Programs	D
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies:	В
Overall Grade	
Digital Learning	B-






28

Grade

1.6



CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -2.5 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math


Score	Per-Pupil
224	Spending
242	
268	\$8,957
285	
	224 242 268

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
87%	11.6


Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** D **Charter Schools Allowed** Yes **Homeschool Regulation Burden** C (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** D-School Choice Allowed Yes **Teacher Quality and Policies:** C-**Overall Grade** C **Digital Learning**






Rank

Grade






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	223	Spending
4th Grade Math	239	
8th Grade Reading	268	\$14,249
8th Grade Math	297	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
81%	14.5

State Academic Standards	А
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D+
Digital Learning	С





Massachusetts

ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.


Rank

Grade

C

GPA







CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	235	Spending
4th Grade Math	251	
8th Grade Reading	274	\$16,678
8th Grade Math	297	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	13.7








Rank

Grade

2.2




CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Math Reading **SCORE** Reading Math

Score	Per-Pupil
216	Spending
236	
264	\$15,175
278	
	216 236 264

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
77%	17.8

State Academic Standards	B+
Charter Schools	В
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	A
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C+
Digital Learning	С






Rank

Grade

C







CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Reading **SCORE** Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	223	Spending .
4th Grade Math	250	
8th Grade Reading	270	\$12,180
8th Grade Math	294	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
69%	15.8








Rank

Grade






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 1.75 2 0 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Reading **SCORE** Reading Math Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	214	Spending
4th Grade Math	234	
8th Grade Reading	252	\$8,779
8th Grade Math	271	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
75%	14.9

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	С
Digital Learning	D-







26

Grade

1.8






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	223	Spending
4th Grade Math	239	
8th Grade Reading	267	\$10,755
8th Grade Math	281	

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
91%	13.5

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards В **Charter Schools** C Charter Schools Allowed Yes **Homeschool Regulation Burden** Α (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** F School Choice Allowed No **Teacher Quality and Policies:** C-**Overall Grade** D+ **Digital Learning**







Rank
38










2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	225	Spending .
4th Grade Math	241	
8th Grade Reading	270	\$10,859
8th Grade Math	287	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
88%	13.5

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	C
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	F
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F
Digital Learning	F






Rank

Grade

GPA






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Math **SCORE** Reading Math Reading

Score	Per-Pupil
227	Spending
244	
269	\$10,012
286	
	227 244 269

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
94%	13.3

State Academic Standards	C-
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	No
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D
Digital Learning	F








Rank

Grade










Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
77%	19.4

State Academic Standards	B+
Charter Schools	C
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	В
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
Digital Learning	B+





New Hampshire


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

Rank

Grade

C-





CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -2 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math


2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	232	Spending
4th Grade Math	249	
8th Grade Reading	275	\$17,115
8th Grade Math	294	

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
84%	12.7

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** D Charter Schools Allowed Yes **Homeschool Regulation Burden** C (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** F School Choice Allowed Yes **Teacher Quality and Policies:** D **Overall Grade Digital Learning** D







22

Grade

1.9






2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	229	Spending	
4th Grade Math	245		
8th Grade Reading	271	\$20,925	
8th Grade Math	293	•	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	12.1

State Academic Standards	A
	6
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	A
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C+
Digital Learning	D-





New Mexico


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

Rank

Grade

C

2.0



CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math


2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	207	Spending .	
4th Grade Math	231		
8th Grade Reading	253	\$10,633	
8th Grade Math	271		

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
67%	14.7

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** C Charter Schools Allowed Yes **Homeschool Regulation Burden** Α (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** F School Choice Allowed No **Teacher Quality and Policies:** C **Overall Grade** C **Digital Learning**







23

Grade

1.8






2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	223	Spending	
4th Grade Math	237		
8th Grade Reading	263	\$21,366	
8th Grade Math	280	-	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
78%	12.9

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	В
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
	1
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	D
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	В
Digital Learning	D-







Rank

Grade

GPA








2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	226	Spending	
4th Grade Math	244		
8th Grade Reading	261	\$8,620	
8th Grade Math	281		

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
86%	14.1

State Academic Standards	В
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
	'
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	С
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
	1
Digital Learning	С






Rank
50

Grade

GPA 0.9




CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Math **SCORE** Reading Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	225	Spending
4th Grade Math	245	
8th Grade Reading	267	\$8,518
8th Grade Math	288	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
88%	11.4

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	No
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies:	D
Overall Grade	
Digital Learning	F







Rank
31

Grade

1.6

GPA






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	225	Spending
4th Grade Math	244	
8th Grade Reading	266	\$11,530
8th Grade Math	285	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
80%	15.8

State Academic Standards	C+
Charter Schools	C
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Hamasahaal Bamulatian Buudan	
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	B-
Digital Learning	D






Oklahoma

ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.


Rank

Grade






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 5 6 4 1.5 2 0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math



Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
83%	15.4

State Academic Standards	C-
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	А
Private School Choice Programs	C-
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	B-
Digital Learning	C+






Rank
44

Grade







CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -0.5 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	220	Spending
4th Grade Math	238	
8th Grade Reading	268	\$11,127
8th Grade Math	283	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
69%	20.3









Rank

Grade






2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	227	Spending
4th Grade Math	243	
8th Grade Reading	269	\$15,691
8th Grade Math	284	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	13.6

State Academic Standards	A
	1
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	D
Private School Choice Programs	С
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
Digital Learning	D






Rhode Island


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

29

Grade

1.6







2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	225	Spending
4th Grade Math	238	
8th Grade Reading	265	\$19,676
8th Grade Math	281	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
80%	13.8










Rank

Grade









Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
79%	15.4







South Dakota


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.


Rank


Grade

D+

1.2








Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
87%	13.3

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** F Charter Schools Allowed No **Homeschool Regulation Burden** C (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** F School Choice Allowed Yes **Teacher Quality and Policies:** D-**Overall Grade** C **Digital Learning**






Rank


24

Grade






CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 .0----0 -2 -4 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math



Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
85%	14.9

State Academic Standards	В
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	В
Digital Learning	F






Rank

C-







CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -4 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math

2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	218	Spending
4th Grade Math	244	
8th Grade Reading	261	\$8,962
8th Grade Math	284	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
88%	14.6










Rank

B-










Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
81%	22.3

State Academic Standards	A
Charter Schools	С
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden	В
(A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	Yes
	<u>'</u>
Teacher Quality and Policies:	C+
Overall Grade	
Digital Learning	A-







Rank

Grade

1.6






2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	230	Spending	
4th Grade Math	243		
8th Grade Reading	274	\$23,149	
8th Grade Math	290		

Supplemental Information

(The following is provided solely for informative reasons. It does not influence the above grade or ranking.)

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
86%	10.5

Education Policy Grades State Academic Standards Α **Charter Schools** F Charter Schools Allowed No **Homeschool Regulation Burden** В (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High) **Private School Choice Programs** C School Choice Allowed Yes **Teacher Quality and Policies:** D-**Overall Grade** D-**Digital Learning**







Rank

Grade








2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	229	Spending	
4th Grade Math	247		
8th Grade Reading	267	\$10,980	
8th Grade Math	288		

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
89%	17.6

State Academic Standards	C-
Charter Schools	F
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	В
Private School Choice Programs	D-
School Choice Allowed	Yes
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C+
Digital Learning	В






Washington


ALEC grades are an assessment of state education policy across six factors. Some factors are more heavily weighted than others. More information about how ALEC grades are calculated can be found in the methodology.

27

Grade








2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	226	Spending .	
4th Grade Math	245		
8th Grade Reading	267	\$10,055	
8th Grade Math	287		

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
71%	19.4

State Academic Standards	B+
Charter Schools	D
Charter Schools Allowed	Yes*
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
Digital Learning	B-







Rank
47

D+

1.2




CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 6 4 2 0 -2 -0.25 -4 -2 -3 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE SCORE** Reading Math Reading Math



Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
85%	13.9

State Academic Standards	B+
Ch C -h l -	F
Charter Schools Charter Schools Allowed	F No
Charter Serioois/illowed	110
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	С
Private School Choice Programs	F
School Choice Allowed	No
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	C-
Digital Learning	B-






Rank

Grade

2.6

GPA




CHANGE IN NAEP SCORES 2013 TO 2015 5 6 4 1.25 2 0 0 -2 -4 -2 -6 4th Grade 4th Grade 8th Grade 8th Grade **AVERAGE** Math **SCORE** Reading Math Reading

2015 NAEP RESULTS			
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil	
4th Grade Reading	223	Spending .	
4th Grade Math	243		
8th Grade Reading	270	\$11,424	
8th Grade Math	289		

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size
90%	14.9

C Yes B
Yes
В
A
Yes
D







Rank
48

Grade








2015 NAEP RESULTS		
School Years / Subjects:	Score	Per-Pupil
4th Grade Reading	228	Spending .
4th Grade Math	247	
8th Grade Reading	269	\$16,127
8th Grade Math	287	

Supplemental Information

Graduation Rate	Average Class Size	
77%	12.3	

State Academic Standards	B+
Chautau Cahaala	D
Charter Schools Charter Schools Allowed	D Yes
Homeschool Regulation Burden (A=None, B=Low, C=Moderate, D=High)	D
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_
Private School Choice Programs School Choice Allowed	F No
School Choice Allowed	INO
Teacher Quality and Policies: Overall Grade	D
Digital Learning	C-





American Legislative Exchange Council 2900 Crystal Drive, Suite 600 Arlington, VA 22202

P: 703.373.0933 • F: 703.373.0927